1 2	LABONI A. HOQ (SBN 224140) laboni@hoqlaw.com HOQ LAW APC P.O. Box 753									
3	South Pasadena, California 91030 Telephone: (213) 973-9004									
5 6	MICHAEL KAUFMAN (SBN 254575) MKaufman@aclusocal.org ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 1313 West Eighth Street Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 977-9500 Facsimile: (213) 915-0219 Attorneys for Plaintiff (additional counsel information on next page)									
7 8										
9	(additional counsel information on next p	page)								
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT								
11	FOR THE CENTRAL DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
12										
13	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF	Case No. 2:22-CV-04760-SHK								
14	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA,	PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS IN								
15	Plaintiff,	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT								
16	V.	JUDGMENT								
17 18	UNITED STATES IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,									
19	Defendants.									
20										
21										
22										
23 24										
2 4 25										
26										
27										
	ACLU of Southern California v. U.S. ICE, et al.,									
28	Case No. 2:22-CV-04760-SHK PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT									

```
1
    EUNICE CHO (pro hac vice)
2
    echo@aclu.org
    AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
    NATIONAL PRISON PROJECT
3
    915 Fifteenth Street NW, 7th Floor
 4
    Washington, DC 20005
    Telephone: (202) 548-6616
5
    KYLE VIRGIEN (SBN 278747)
6
    kvirgien@aclu.org
    AMÉRICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
 7
    NATIONAL PRISON PROJECT
    425 California St., Suite 700
8
    San Francisco, CÁ 94104
    Telephone: (202) 393-4930
9
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
   ACLU of Southern California v. U.S. ICE, et al.,
   Case No. 2:22-CV-04760-SHK
28
   PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO
   MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
```

PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1. Plaintiff submitted its FOIA request ("Request") to ICE, DHS's Privacy Office, and DHS-OIG on April 29, 2022, seeking information about ICE's practice of deathbed releases of immigration detainees.

Evidence: ECF No. 1-1 (FOIA Request).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2. The Request sought nine categories of records, generally including: (1) documents related to the hospitalization, death, and release from custody of Teka Gulema, Johana Medina Leon, Jose Ibarra Bucio, and Martin Vargas Arellano; (2) DHS-OIG reports of investigation regarding these four individuals, including "exhibits, appendices, or attachments"; (3) ICE Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR) investigations regarding these four individuals; (4) ICE and ICE Health Service Corps directives, policies, and procedures regarding the release from custody of hospitalized detainees; (5) records in possession of specific ICE offices identifying hospitalized detainees released from custody; (6) documents created by DHS-OIG or OPR that mention ICE's release of hospitalized detainees; (7) documents created by DHS-OIG or OPR mentioning the death of detainees previously released by ICE while hospitalized; (8) documents identifying detainees who were hospitalized due to COVID-19 and were subsequently released from custody while hospitalized; and (9) financial records reflecting payments for healthcare of detainees released from ICE custody while hospitalized.

Evidence: ECF No. 1-1 (FOIA Request).

3. On May 16, 2022, DHS-OIG acknowledged receipt of the Request, assigned it a tracking number, and granted Plaintiff's request for a fee waiver and expedited processing.

^{27 |} ACLU of Southern California v. U.S. ICE, et al.,

Case No. 2:22-CV-04760-SHK

²⁸ PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Evidence: ECF No. 24-1 at 20. 1 4. Between July 5, 2022 and September 2, 2022, DHS-OIG and Plaintiff 2 corresponded several times regarding search terms and methodology, 3 including a telephone conference on August 18, 2022. 4 Evidence: ECF No. 24-1 at 22-35. 5 5. On September 2, 2022, Plaintiff sent letter requesting that DHS-OIG search for 6 7 records in its possession regardless of whether they are "maintained" by DHS-OIG, or are "under the purview of ICE." 8 Evidence: ECF No. 24-1 at 34. 9 6. After receiving no response to its September 2, 2022 letter, Plaintiff followed 10 up by email on September 12, 2022, requesting that DHS-OIG indicate when 11 12 it intended to respond. Evidence: Declaration of Laboni Hoq ("Hoq Decl."), Exh. B. 13 7. Plaintiff filed suit against Defendants DHS and ICE on July 12, 2022, but did 14 not separately name DHS-OIG as a Defendant at that time. 15 Evidence: Complaint, ECF No. 1. 16 8. After DHS-OIG failed to respond to Plaintiff, on October 4, 2022, Plaintiff 17 amended its complaint, adding DHS-OIG as a Defendant to the suit. 18 Evidence: Amended Complaint, ECF No. 24. 19 9. DHS-OIG began its first production of FOIA documents on November 23, 20 2022, and made its final production on August 2, 2023. 21 *Evidence*: Declaration of Eunice Cho ("Cho Decl."), ¶¶ 5-12. 22 10. In total, DHS-OIG produced 113 pages of records in full. It withheld 460 23 pages in part, and 367 in full. DHS-OIG also referred 1,879 pages to other 24 DHS components or agencies, including 1,420 pages to ICE, and 1 page to 25

26

DHS Office of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties ("CRCL").

^{27 |} ACLU of Southern California v. U.S. ICE, et al.,

Case No. 2:22-CV-04760-SHK

PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Evidence: Cho Decl., ¶ 12.

11. The chart below reflects DHS-OIG's FOIA production to Plaintiff:

	Nov. 23 2022	Dec. 21, 2022	Jan. 30, 2023	Feb. 27, 2023	Mar. 30, 2023	Jun. 29, 2023	Jul. 31, 2023	Aug. 2, 2023	Total
Released in Full	4	60	5	0	10	48	0	6	133
Withheld in Part	117	128	1	0	44	74	91	5	460
Withheld in Full	0	0	0	0	113	74	180	0	367
Duplicates (not produced)	127	0	0	1140	61	757	644	0	2729
Non-Responsive (not produced)	0	0	1072	0	736	17	20	0	1845
Referred to ICE	220	280	0	0	9	328	583	0	1420
Referred to DOJ EOUSA	233	185	0	0	0	0	0	0	418
Referred to "unidentified" DHS component ¹	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	8
Referred to DHS CRCL	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Referred to DHS CBP	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	32

Evidence: Cho Decl., ¶ 12.

12. On December 8, 2023, Plaintiff provided Defendants with a list of documents that it sought to challenge to include in a *Vaughn* index.

Evidence: Hoq Decl. Ex. L.

13. The parties met and conferred on December 14, 2023 and over email between December 15 and 19, 2023, to establish a proposed timeline for partial summary judgment briefing as to Defendants DHS-OIG and DHS.

Evidence: Hoq Decl., ¶ 15.

¹ On October 2, 2023, Defendants clarified that the "unidentified DHS component" was the DHS Privacy Office. Hoq Decl. Ex. D.

Case No. 2:22-CV-04760-SHK

^{27 |} ACLU of Southern California v. U.S. ICE, et al.,

PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

14. On December 21, 2023, the Court issued an Order setting forth the briefing 1 schedule. 2 Evidence: ECF No. 64. 3 15. Defendants provided a search summary of DHS-OIG's search to Plaintiff on 4 January 19, 2024. 5 Evidence: Hoq Decl. Ex. R. 6 16. Defendants provided a Vaughn Index to Plaintiff on February 9, 2024. 7 Evidence: Hoq Decl. Ex. Y. 8 17. On February 8, 2024, ICE produced 567 pages, redacted in part, of 583 9 pages that referred by DHS-OIG to ICE, after it concluded that 16 pages 10 were non-responsive. 11 Evidence: Hoq Decl., ¶ 23, Exs. W and X. 12 18. Plaintiff responded by letter on February 13, 2024, noting that its Request had 13 specified all attachments to DHS-OIG investigations into Mr. Gulema's death, 14 and requesting that Defendants provide the remaining 16 pages from the 15 tranche processed by Defendants in February 2024. Plaintiff also requested 16 that Defendants produce all remaining documents referred to ICE by DHS-17 OIG. These documents include several dated between October and November 18 2015, regarding ICE's decision to release Mr. Gulema from custody, which 19 Plaintiff has repeatedly requested from Defendants. 20 Evidence: Hoq Decl. Ex. Z. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 ACLU of Southern California v. U.S. ICE, et al., Case No. 2:22-CV-04760-SHK 28 PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1	Dated: February 23, 2023	Respectfully submitted,		
2		/a/ I ab ani II a		
3		/s/ Laboni Hoq LABONI A. HOQ (SBN 224140) laboni@hoqlaw.com		
4		HOQ LAW APC P.O. Box 753		
5		South Pasadena, California 91030 Tel.: (213) 973-9004		
6				
7		MICHAEL KAUFMAN (SBN 254575) MKaufman@aclusocal.org ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN		
8		CALIFORNIA 1313 West Eighth Street		
9		Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel.: (213) 977-9500		
10		Fax: (213) 915-0219		
11		EUNICE CHO (Pro Hac Vice) echo@aclu.org		
13		AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 915 Fifteenth Street NW, 7th Floor		
14		Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 548-6616		
15		KYLE VIRGIEN (SBN 278747)		
16		kvirgien@aclu.org AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES		
17		UNION FOUNDATION 425 California Street, Suite 700		
18		San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel.: (202) 393-4930		
19				
20		Attorneys for Plaintiff		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	ACLU of Southern California v. U.S. ICE, et al., Case No. 2:22-CV-04760-SHK			
28	PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF UNCONT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	TROVERTED FACTS ISO	5	